Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,288	CHATURVEDI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308. 1. This communication is responsive to 10/24/2003.			
 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 4 and 5. 3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner. 			
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ Ali b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the			
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
(a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.			
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.			
 8. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No 			
(b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed, which has been approved by the Examiner.			
(c) 🔲 including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the margin according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.			
Attachment(s)			
1☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 2 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No 		nary (PTO-413), Paper No	
	i), 7∏ Examiner's Am	endment/Comment	
4☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8⊠ Examiner's Stat 9∏ Other	ement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/927,288

Art Unit: 1625

The previous Office Action has been withdrawn due to applicants' persuasive argument.

Reasons of Allowance

- 1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 - the rejection of claims 4-5 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn due to applicants' persuasive argument in the amendment.
 - the rejection of Claims 4-5 provisionally under the judicially created
 doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over
 claims 1-4 and 7-8 of copending Application No. 10/095,633 or
 09/928,020 has been withdrawn due to the modification made in the
 amendment.
 - the rejection of Claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated
 clearly by Komada et al (U.S. 6,043,186) has been withdrawn due to the
 modification made in the amendment.

Komada et al discloses an ammoxidation catalyst comprising a compound oxide represented by the formula : Mo_{1.0} V_{0.34} Nb $_{0.14}$ Te_{0.24} Sm_{0.013} O_n .

The instant invention, however, differ from the prior art reference in that the claimed empiric formula contains Ir, which is absent in the prior art. The prior art references do not even

July 134 1/3

Art Unit: 1625

remotely suggest the aforementioned modifications. Unless all limitations of the claims are met, there is no prior art rejection. See In re Zurko 59 USPQ 2d 1690 (Fed Cir. 1991) and In re Lee, 61 USPQ 1430 (Fed Cir. 1991).

Therefore, applicants' claimed subject matter would not have been obvious to the person with an ordinary skill in the art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning the communication after allowance such as sending all postallowance correspondence should be directed to "Box Issue Fee" or faxed directly to PUBS at 703-305-8755. This will expedite the process of these papers.